

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.246

(8) San Diego, approved March 31, 1998;

(9) San Francisco Bay Area, approved March 31, 1998;

(10) Stockton, approved March 31, 1998.

(d) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following nitrogen dioxide maintenance SIP will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.

(1) South Coast, approved on July 24, 1998.

(2) [Reserved]

(e) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following PM-10 reasonable further progress and attainment SIPs will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.

(1) South Coast, approved April 18, 2003.

(2) Coachella Valley, approved April 18, 2003.

[67 FR 69146, Nov. 15, 2002, as amended at 68 FR 19317, 19319, Apr. 18, 2003]

§52.245 New Source Review rules.

(a) Approval of the New Source Review rules for the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Rules 2020 and 2201 as approved on May 17, 2004 in § 52.220(c)(311)(i)(B)(I), and in effect for Federal purposes from June 16, 2004 through June 10, 2010, is limited, as it relates to agricultural sources, to the extent that the permit requirements apply:

(1) To agricultural sources with potential emissions at or above a major source applicability threshold; and

(2) To agricultural sources with actual emissions at or above 50 percent of a major source applicability threshold.

(b) Approval of the New Source Review rules for the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Rules 2020 and 2201 as approved on May 17, 2004 in § 52.220(c)(311)(i)(B)(I), and in effect for Federal purposes from June 16, 2004 through June 10, 2010, is limited,

as it relates to agricultural sources, to the extent that the emission offset requirements apply to major agricultural sources and major modifications of such sources.

[78 FR 46514, Aug. 1, 2013]

§52.246 Control of dry cleaning solvent vapor losses.

(a) For the purpose of this section, “dry cleaning operation” means that process by which an organic solvent is used in the commercial cleaning of garments and other fabric materials.

(b) This section is applicable in the Metropolitan Los Angeles, Sacramento Valley, and San Joaquin Valley Intra-state Air Quality Control Regions (the “Regions”), as described in 40 CFR part 81, dated July 1, 1979, except as follows:

(1) In the following portions of the Sacramento Valley Region, this section is rescinded:

(i) Sacramento County APCD.

(ii) Placer County APCD (Mountain Counties Air Basin portion).

(iii) Yuba County APCD.

(iv) Sutter County APCD.

(2) In the following portions of the Metropolitan Los Angeles Intra-state Region, this section is rescinded:

(i) Ventura County APCD.

(3) In the following portions of the San Joaquin Valley Intra-state Region, this section is rescinded:

(i) San Joaquin County APCD.

(ii) Stanislaus County APCD.

(iii) Tulare County APCD.

(iv) Fresno County APCD.

(c) Any dry cleaning establishment that uses solvents containing 4 percent or more by volume of any reactive organic material listed under paragraphs (k) (1), (2), and (3) of § 52.254 except perchloroethylene or any saturated halogenated hydrocarbon shall reduce the emissions of the discharged organics by 90 percent by use of activated carbon adsorption, or other appropriate means, not later than January 1, 1975.

(d) If incineration is used as a control technique, 90 percent or more of the carbon in the organic compounds being

incinerated must be oxidized to carbon dioxide.

[38 FR 31246, Nov. 12, 1973, as amended at 42 FR 41122, Aug. 15, 1977; 42 FR 42226, Aug. 22, 1977; 47 FR 15586, Apr. 12, 1982; 47 FR 18856, May 3, 1982; 47 FR 26385, June 18, 1982; 47 FR 28622, July 1, 1982; 47 FR 29670, July 8, 1982; 47 FR 50865, Nov. 10, 1982]

§ 52.247 Control Strategy and regulations: Fine Particle Matter.

(a) *Determination of Attainment:* Effective February 8, 2013, EPA has determined that, based on 2009 to 2011 ambient air quality data, the San Francisco Bay Area PM_{2.5} nonattainment area has attained the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS. This determination suspends the requirements for this area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures, a reasonable further progress plan, contingency measures, and other planning SIPs related to attainment for as long as this area continues to attain the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS. If EPA determines, after notice-and-comment rulemaking, that this area no longer meets the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS, the corresponding determination of attainment for that area shall be withdrawn.

(b) *Determination of Attainment:* Effective February 11, 2013, EPA has determined that, based on 2009 to 2011 ambient air quality data, the Yuba City-Marysville PM_{2.5} nonattainment area has attained the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS. This determination suspends the requirements for this area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures, a reasonable further progress plan, contingency measures, and other planning SIPs related to attainment for as long as this area continues to attain the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS. If EPA determines, after notice-and-comment rulemaking, that this area no longer meets the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS, the corresponding determination of attainment for that area shall be withdrawn.

(c) *Determination of Attainment:* Effective August 14, 2013, EPA has determined that, based on 2010 to 2012 ambient air quality data, the Sacramento PM_{2.5} nonattainment area has attained the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS. This de-

termination suspends the requirements for this area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures, a reasonable further progress plan, contingency measures, and other planning SIPs related to attainment for as long as this area continues to attain the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS. If EPA determines, after notice-and-comment rulemaking, that this area no longer meets the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS, the corresponding determination of attainment for that area shall be withdrawn.

(d) *Determination of Attainment:* Effective October 10, 2013, EPA has determined that, based on 2010 to 2012 ambient air quality data, the Chico PM_{2.5} nonattainment area has attained the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS. This determination suspends the requirements for this area to submit an attainment demonstration, associated reasonably available control measures, a reasonable further progress plan, contingency measures, and other planning SIPs related to attainment for as long as this area continues to attain the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS. If EPA determines, after notice-and-comment rulemaking, that this area no longer meets the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS, the corresponding determination of attainment for that area shall be withdrawn.

[78 FR 1761, Jan. 9, 2013, as amended at 78 FR 2213, Jan. 10, 2013; 78 FR 42021, July 15, 2013; 78 FR 55228, Sept. 10, 2013]

§§ 52.248–52.251 [Reserved]

§ 52.252 Control of degreasing operations.

(a) “Degreasing” means any operation using an organic solvent as a surface cleaning agent prior to fabricating, surface coating, electroplating, or any other process.

(b) This section is applicable in the Sacramento Valley, San Joaquin Valley, and San Francisco Bay Area Intra-state Air Quality Control Regions (the “Regions”), as described in 40 CFR part 81, dated July 1, 1979, except as follows:

(1) In the following portions of the Sacramento Valley Region, this section is rescinded:

- (i) Sacramento County APCD.
- (ii) Placer County APCD (Mountain Counties Air Basin portion).